

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/853,968	MARU, TSUGUO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Meek	2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jacob Meek.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Left message for Ian Blum (Reg #42,336) requesting revised abstract to correct "means for" language contained in abstract in order to put case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)